

## A SYSTEM FOR COLLECTING LARGE NUMBERS OF LIVE POSTLARVAL PENAEID SHRIMP<sup>1</sup>

Acquiring large numbers of small marine organisms for experimental or commercial purposes is often hampered by inefficient methods. Means for concentrating organisms and separating desired species from the rest of the catch can be particularly troublesome problems.

For commercial shrimp culture and related research, a system is needed whereby large numbers of postlarval shrimp can be efficiently caught, separated, and held alive in a healthy condition. The shrimp of greatest interest for pond culture include the tropical and subtropical species whose habit of entering estuaries during larval stages and remaining there for several weeks makes them accessible in large numbers to various collecting methods. Two general methods are presently employed to collect shrimp for culture. Postlarvae are impounded in the Orient by manipulating tidal flow with sluice gates built into the dikes of ponds (Walford, 1958). This method, however, also impounds undesirable species that enter with the small shrimp. Fishermen of the Philippine Islands catch shrimp larvae by immersing bundles of grass at the edges of mangrove thickets and periodically removing the attached postlarvae with a dip net, or by dipping a triangular net into the water (Caces-Borja and Rasalan, 1968). These methods are time-consuming, and the number of postlarvae caught varies greatly among localities; often catches are too small to provide stock for a successful crop.

The objective of this study was to develop more efficient methods for collecting and separating large numbers of postlarval brown shrimp, *Penaeus aztecus*. Postlarvae of this species immigrate through tidal passes on flood tides from February through September along the Texas coast with peak abundance occurring during late winter and early spring (Baxter and Renfro, 1966). The postlarvae occur in great

numbers near the surface, especially in the more shallow areas (Duronslet, Lyon, and Marullo, in press) and are about 11 mm in total length and 1 mm in diameter at immigration.

### Description of Equipment

The collecting system, designed for use on a 13-m vessel, is shown in Figures 1 and 2. The telescoping outriggers consist of a pipe frame attached to the vessel and pipe extensions which slide inside the frame. The frame itself was constructed from two pieces of 76-mm (outside diameter) galvanized pipe bolted side-by-side to the top of the cabin. The extensions were 51-mm (outside diameter) galvanized pipe. Holes were drilled in the pipes so that each could be extended 2.4 m and secured with a steel pin.




FIGURE 1.—Schematic drawing of collecting system: 1 - bow bridles; 2 - staywires; 3 - outrigger frame; 4 - outrigger; 5 - double block; 6 - net bridle; 7 - trash screen; 8 - net frame; 9 - net; 10 - funnel; 11 - suction hose; 12 - screw valve; 13 - pump; 14 - sorting box; 15 - holding tank.

<sup>1</sup> Contribution No. 336, National Marine Fisheries Service, Gulf Coastal Fisheries Center, Galveston Laboratory, Galveston, TX 77550.




FIGURE 2.—Collecting system in operation on flood tide.



Net frames, 1.2 by 2.4 m, were constructed of 3-mm flat steel reinforced with 6-mm iron rods. Six U-bolts were welded to the frame for the attachment of stainless steel cables which bridled each frame to a double block bolted to the end of each outrigger. The trash screens were made of 6.4-cm square mesh galvanized hardware cloth soldered onto galvanized metal frames which were bolted to the net frame. The nets were fabricated from nylon netting (169 meshes per square inch) measuring 3.7 m in length and patterned so that the seams ran from the corners of the metal frame to the aluminum rings. The rings were attached with bayonet fittings to the collecting funnels. Both the mouth and tail of the nets were reinforced with cotton duck and fitted with brass grommets so that the nets could be laced on the frames and rings.

The collecting funnels were made with 3-mm flat stock aluminum and were 90 cm long (30 cm outside diameter at the mouth and 7.5 cm inside diameter at the tail). A 15-cm nipple was welded to the posterior end where the suction hose from the pump was attached, and a 10-cm flat band was welded to the mouth of the funnel. L-shaped slots were cut in the leading edge of the band. These slots were spaced so

that countersunk bolts in the aluminum ring of the net could be inserted and rotated for quick attachment of the net to the funnel.

The self-priming, centrifugal, solids handling pump ("Crown" model PO3LB, Construction Machinery Company, Waterloo, Iowa<sup>2</sup>) was powered by the winch power shaft (Figure 3). In turn, the power shaft was controlled by a small hydraulic motor which allowed regulation of pumping speed. Water volume output could be controlled from 0 to 180 gal/min.

The pump intake pipe was attached to a 7.6-cm (outside diameter) PVC (polyvinyl chloride) tee. A reinforced rubber hose led from each side of the tee to the respective funnel, and a brass screw valve was incorporated in each hose so that we could pump from either or both nets.

The sorting box (Figures 3 and 4) was designed so that the smallest animals caught would be retained and the larger ones discarded. This was accomplished by pumping the catch from the nets onto three sets of sloping screens. A water-jet system was used to keep the animals

<sup>2</sup> Use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement of commercial products by the National Marine Fisheries Service.





FIGURE 3.—Pump, sorting box, and holding tank.

FIGURE 3.—Pump, sorting  
box, and holding tank.





separated and moving down the screens. The screen frame and the catch box located beneath the screens were made with 19-mm marine plywood. One end of the screen frame was hinged and could be set at the slope providing maximum sorting efficiency. To accommodate excess water, six overflow windows around the top edge of the catch box were screened with fine-mesh netting. The catch box drain emptied into a 145-gal fiber glass holding tank having screened overflow windows (Figure 3).

The 54- by 51-cm screens were constructed of brass welding rods and flat stock aluminum. The rods were cemented in place at both ends along the aluminum strips with liquid solder cement. Excess cement was ground off, and an-




FIGURE 4.—End view of sorting box.

other aluminum strip was bolted to each end, thus sandwiching the brass rods between aluminum strips. Three layers of screens were installed in the frame with each layer having three screens fitted end-to-end so that the rods ran parallel with the long axis of the frame. The average openings between rods for the screen layers were 3, 2, and 1½ mm.

Water-jet pipes were inserted through the walls of the screen frame 5 cm below each screen with two pipes per screen (Figure 3). The pipes were perforated with 2-mm holes spaced 25 mm apart to form the water jets. The force of the jets, which was supplied with water by a 25-mm gasoline-driven centrifugal pump, was controlled by a PVC ball valve on the discharge line of the pump.

### Operation of System

After anchoring the vessel, the outriggers were extended, the suction hoses and cones put overboard, and the nets and frames were lowered into the water. A rope was then passed around the bow, and the ends were attached to the bridle of each net. When the nets were subsequently slacked back, the rope bridles became taut, and tidal flow forced the frames into the water (Figure 2). The solids-handling pump and the water-jet pump were then engaged and the jet heights adjusted. When the sorting box was full of water, the valve on the bottom was opened allowing the catch to flow into the holding tank.

### Evaluation of System

The number of brown shrimp postlarvae caught per cruise was estimated by periodically taking 5-sec samples from the pump discharge. Twenty collection cruises were made on flood tides between March 17 and June 2, 1971 (Table 1). Numbers of postlarvae caught during individual cruises ranged from 0 to about 106,000, and the total estimated catch for all cruises was about 411,600. Mortalities associated with catching, pumping, and sorting brown shrimp postlarvae were less than 3%.

During evaluation of the system, large numbers of larval fishes and crustaceans other than postlarval brown shrimp were collected. The



more common and readily recognizable forms are listed in Table 2. Mortalities related to capture of these larvae were estimated to be less than 10%, while mortalities for subadults and adults were less than 20%. Large specimens of Atlantic cutlassfish, sea catfish, Atlantic croaker, Gulf menhaden, and the blue crab were passed through the pump with little damage. The sorting box was effective in removing all animals and trash larger than 1.5 mm in diameter, or about 95% of the larval fishes caught. Postlarvae retained in the trash were less than 10% of the total number of postlarvae caught. Larval stages of the blue crab were not effectively separated, however, and were voracious feeders on postlarvae.

This system was efficient in capturing and sorting large numbers of postlarval shrimp and, by adjusting the widths of the sorting screens, can be used to catch and sort most small animals as they migrate through tidal passes. The pump and suction cone attachment on the tail of the nets provided a constant free flow of water which eliminated the problem of clogging or fouling as encountered with standard plankton nets. The system is not restricted to use on a boat and could be easily adapted for land-based operations from piers and platforms.

TABLE 1.—Estimated number of postlarval brown shrimp caught by date in the Bolivar Roads Tidal Pass, Galveston Bay, Tex., 1971.

| Date     | Fishing time (min) | Number of samples | Estimated total catch |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| March 17 | 167                | 8                 | 14,400                |
| 20       | 240                | 9                 | 3,800                 |
| 21       | 50                 | 5                 | 3,000                 |
| 22       | 108                | 9                 | 5,200                 |
| 23       | 80                 | 6                 | 800                   |
| 26       | 173                | 16                | 45,200                |
| 27       | 345                | 16                | 46,200                |
| 28       | 335                | 32                | 52,600                |
| 30       | 465                | 49                | 65,100                |
| 31       | 180                | 15                | 4,500                 |
| April 2  | 173                | 8                 | 500                   |
| 3        | 150                | 15                | 5,100                 |
| 7        | 120                | 12                | 200                   |
| 13       | 155                | 17                | 9,100                 |
| 20       | 60                 | 6                 | 1,400                 |
| 21       | 135                | 13                | 0                     |
| 30       | 100                | 10                | 23,200                |
| May 19   | 160                | 16                | 800                   |
| 20       | 220                | 21                | 106,000               |
| June 2   | 90                 | 9                 | 24,500                |
| Total    | 3,506              | 292               | 411,600               |

TABLE 2.—Fishes and crustaceans collected during evaluation of the system; A = abundant; O = occasional.

| Scientific name                | Common name          | Juvenile | Adult |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|
| <i>Anchoa mitchilli</i>        | Bay anchovy          | A        | O     |
| <i>Brevoortia patronus</i>     | Gulf menhaden        | A        | O     |
| <i>Callinectes danae</i>       | Gulf crab            | O        | O     |
| <i>Callinectes sapidus</i>     | Blue crab            | A        | O     |
| <i>Cynoscion arenarius</i>     | Sand seatrout        | O        |       |
| <i>Dysommia</i> sp.            | Eel                  | A        |       |
| <i>Elops saurus</i>            | Ladyfish             |          | A     |
| <i>Arius felis</i>             | Sea catfish          | A        | O     |
| <i>Gobiosox strumosus</i>      | Skilletfish          |          | O     |
| <i>Hippocampus zosterae</i>    | Dwarf seahorse       |          | O     |
| <i>Histrio histrio</i>         | Sargassumfish        |          | O     |
| <i>Libinia emarginata</i>      | Spider crab          | O        |       |
| <i>Menticirrhus americanus</i> | Southern kingfish    | O        |       |
| <i>Micropogon undulatus</i>    | Atlantic croaker     | A        | O     |
| <i>Monacanthus hispidus</i>    | Common filefish      |          | A     |
| <i>Mugil cephalus</i>          | Striped mullet       | A        |       |
| <i>Ophidion welschi</i>        | Crested cusk-eel     |          | O     |
| <i>Palaemonetes</i> sp.        | Grass shrimp         |          | A     |
| <i>Penaeus setiferus</i>       | White shrimp         | A        | A     |
| <i>Polydactylus octonemus</i>  | Atlantic threadfin   |          | A     |
| <i>Porichthys porosissimus</i> | Atlantic midshipman  |          | O     |
| <i>Prionotus tribulus</i>      | Bighead searobin     |          | O     |
| <i>Sergistid</i> sp.           |                      | A        | A     |
| <i>Sphoeroides nephelus</i>    | Southern puffer      | O        | O     |
| <i>Squilla</i> sp.             | Mantis shrimp        | A        | A     |
| <i>Syngnathus scovelli</i>     | Gulf pipefish        | A        | A     |
| <i>Synodus foetens</i>         | Inshore lizardfish   | A        | O     |
| <i>Trachypenaeus</i> sp.       | Brokenback shrimp    | O        | A     |
| <i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>     | Atlantic cutlassfish |          | A     |

### Literature Cited

- BAXTER, K. N., AND W. C. RENFRO.  
1966. Seasonal occurrence and size distribution of postlarval brown and white shrimp near Galveston, Texas, with notes on species identification. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Fish. Bull. 66:149-158.
- CACES-BORJA, P., AND S. B. RASALAN.  
1968. A review of the culture of sugpo, *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius, in the Philippines. FAO (Food Agric. Organ. U.N.) Fish. Rep. 57:111-123.
- DURONSLET, M. J., J. LYON, AND F. MARULLO.  
In press. Vertical distribution of postlarval brown, *Penaeus aztecus*, and white, *P. setiferus*, shrimp during immigration through a tidal pass. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.
- WALFORD, L. A.  
1958. Living resources of the sea: Opportunities for research and expansion. Ronald, N.Y., 321 p.

C. T. FONTAINE  
S. E. P. GISLASON  
W. L. TRENT

National Marine Fisheries Service  
Gulf Coastal Fisheries Center  
Galveston Laboratory  
Galveston, TX 77550